

PLASMA DRUG TESTING FOR D AND L ISOMERS OF AMPHETAMINE AND METHAMPHETAMINE BY LIQUID CHROMATOGRAPHY MASS SPECTROMETRY WITH A RANGE OF 2.5 TO 1000 NG/ML

Rob Carpenter², Mary Long¹, Brian Robbins¹

¹Advanta Analytical Laboratories Tyler, TX, USA,

²University of Texas at Tyler Tyler, TX, USA

Introduction: Development and validation of a quantitative plasma assay for measuring D and L amphetamine and methamphetamine in human K2 EDTA samples to determine prescription versus illicit sources of the analytes. The assay was developed using liquid-liquid extraction followed by a dry down step and reconstitution with D and L mobile phase. The samples were separated on a chiral column and measured using an API 4000™ LC/MS/MS system.

Methods: The assay was validated according to US FDA, CLIA and CAP guidelines including assessment of the following parameters in plasma validation samples: linear range, limit of detection, lower limit of quantitation, matrix effects, inter- and intra-day assay precision and accuracy, carry over, linearity of dilution, matrix effects and stability. Detection was done by using an The assay was validated according to US FDA, CLIA and CAP guidelines including assessment of the following parameters in plasma validation samples: linear range, limit of detection, lower limit of quantitation, matrix effects, inter- and intra-day assay precision and accuracy, carry over, linearity of dilution, matrix effects and stability. Detection was done by using an API 4000™ LC/MS/MS system. The chiral separation was performed using isocratic separation with a Supelco Astec CHIROBIOTIC® V2 (25 cm x 2.1 mm, 5µm) column. **Results:** The assay had a dynamic range of detection from 2.5 to 1000 ng/ml for all 4 analytes. It had %error (%E) and % coefficient of variability (%CV) < 20 at the lower limit of quantitation (LLOQ) (2.5 ng/ml) and < 15 over the range of the assay for the standard curve. Validation samples at 7.5 300 and 900 ng/ml exhibited inter and intra-assay %CV and %E of < 15. The analytes exhibit excellent room temperature, refrigerated, and frozen stability characteristics with less than 15% from expected. The assay showed good post extraction stability with less than 15% degradation over 7 days. There were negligible effects of matrix, carry over, freeze thaw, concomitant medications, and dilution. **Conclusions:** A quantitative method was developed and validated as reliable technique for determination of D and L isomers of amphetamine and methamphetamine in human plasma samples on an older API 4000™ LC/MS/MS system using liquid-liquid extraction.

COMPARISON BETWEEN MINDRAY 6800-PLUS AND SYSMEX X-20 INSTRUMENTS FOR THE ANALYSIS OF BODY FLUIDS

IRIA CEBREIROS-LÓPEZ¹, MARINA CAPARRÓS-GUERRERO¹, DAVID ANTÓN-MARTÍNEZ¹, RAMON SIMON-LOPEZ², JOSE ANTONIO NOGUERA-VELASCO¹

¹Laboratory Medicine Department, Hospital Universitario Virgen de la Arrixaca Murcia, Spain, ²Scientific Research and Medical Affairs, Mindray Oberwil Zug, Switzerland

Introduction: The analysis of body fluids (BF) is a commonly practiced test to check the cause of an effusion or to investigate the cause of meningitis, hemorrhage or tumors. Nowadays, the automated hematology instruments includes also the automated analysis of BF. Our objective is to compare the BF analysis performance of the instrument Mindray6800Plus with the Instrument SysmexXN20. **Methods:** We analyzed 153 BF selected from among all those that we received in the laboratory. The only requirement was that there was the necessary volume to analyze the samples with both instruments. All BF were analyzed in a Mindray6800 and in a SysmexXN20. We used the statistical package Medcalc: summary statistics tables, D'Agostino-Pearson and Passing-Bablok regression method. **Results:**

CSF (n=60)

	Mean	Min- dray6800	Sysmex XN20	Slope [95%CI]; Intercept *	Pear- son CC	p
WBC	1741.42	1541,07	1541,07	0.989 [0,96-1]; 0.1709	0,998	< 0,0001
RBC	13190,5	14421,3	14421,3	0.9923 [0,94-1]; 201,15	0,982	< 0,0001
PMN%	43,85	41,19	41,19	0.9701 [0,92- 0,998]; -0,035	0,991	0,0075
MN%	53,11	55,78	55,78	0.9777 [0,93- 1,006]; 2,694	0,991	0,0017

PLEURAL FLUID (n=53)

	Mean	Min- dray6800	Sysmex XN20	Slope[95%- CI]; Intercept *	Pear- son CC	p
WBC	7955,02	7864,5	7864,5	1,06[1,3- 1,097]; -6,62	0,979	< 0,0001
RBC	146773,6	137849,1	137849,1	1[-1,032]; 0	0,962	< 0,0001
PMN%	26,63	25,94	25,94	1[0,974-1,04]; 0,3	0,955	0,0106
MN%	73,32	74,06	74,06	1[0,971-1,04]; -0,5	0,954	0,0106

ASCITIC FLUID (n=40)